



# Alternative Stakeholder Options


Stephen McCord, LWA  
Carrie Monohan, TSF




# Stakeholder-Driven Development Options




## Typical TMDL Process



## Stakeholder TMDL Process



## Stakeholder-Driven TMDL Typical Components



## Stakeholder-Driven TMDLs – SoCal Examples

- Calleguas Creek Watershed
  - Complete TMDLs for Nutrients, Toxicity, OC Pesticides and PCBs, Salts, Metals, Bacteria
- Los Angeles River (CREST)
  - Conducted studies to support TMDL
  - Wrote key TMDL sections for bacteria
- Santa Clara River
  - Collaboratively reviewed nitrogen TMDL
  - Funded model development

## Evaluate Ability and Desire for Stakeholder-Driven Process

---

- Does the RWQCB have the resources and commitment to do a true stakeholder-driven TMDL?
- Is stakeholder group complete and can it support an inclusive development process?
- Can the group develop a TMDL objectively?
- Does the project have sufficient financial, human and organizational resources?
- Can the group develop the TMDL in the time frame?
- Is there agreement on how the RWQCB will use work-products?
- Is the group comfortable with the advantages and disadvantages of the stakeholder-driven process?